

received
Feb 3, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Lev Korenevsky
Application #: 10/037,548

Examiner: Shay L Balsis
Art Unit: 1744

Honorable Commissioner for Patents

Sir,

This Amendment and Response is submitted in response to the Examiner's Office Action dated 01/20/2004.

Introductory Comments

First of all I would like to address the issue of correcting drawings. I began working on new drawings, but this would require more time. To make it easier to comply with the examiner's requirements related to drawings, I decided to withdraw Claim 5 that describes the roller cage stopper. Even the simplest embodiment of the roller cage stopper adds considerable complexity to the roller cage design making it difficult to prepare easy to read and understand drawings.

Several amendments to Claims 9, 10 should prevent any misinterpretation of the limitations defined in these claims. *Note: Claim 9 does not recite "the roller edge" in line 1. The wording in line 1 is "the roller cage".*